

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS washington D. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09 910,706 | 07 20 2001 | Paul F. Worley | JHU1520-2 | 3044 |
| 28213 | 7590 11 27 2002 | | | |
| GARY CARY WARE & FRIENDENRICH LLP 4365 EXECUTIVE DRIVE SUITE 1600 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | ALLEN, MA | RIANNE P |
| SAN DIEGO | , CA 92121-2189 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1631 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11 27 2001 | : 41 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| ٠. | | 09/910,706 | WORLEY ET AL. | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | Marianne P. Allen | 1631 | | |
| Period for | The MAILING DATE of this communicating Reply | on appears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | | |
| THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communications of or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutors to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) N y statute, cause the application to become | y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed of | on | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)[| This action is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Disposition | Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims | | | | |
| 4)[- | Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the appl | ication. | | | |
| 4 | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction a | nd/or election requirement. | | | |
| Application | | 1 | | | |
| 9)□ T | he specification is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | |
| 10)∐ T | he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[|] accepted or b) objected to b | by the Examiner. | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection | on to the drawing(s) be held in ab | eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are require | d in reply to this Office action. | | | |
| 12) 🗌 T | he oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| 13) 🗌 🗸 | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | foreign priority under 35 U.S. | C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a)[| ☐All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority doc | uments have been received. | | | |
| : | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action fo | nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) |)). | | |
| | | • | C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | |
| a) | ☐ The translation of the foreign langua cknowledgment is made of a claim for d | ge provisional application has | s been received. | | |
| Attachment(| | | | | |
| 2) Notice | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper | (48) 5) Notice | ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |
| J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev | | ffice Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 9 | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/910,706

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-3 and 8-9, drawn to polynucleotides, classified in class 536, subclass
 23.5.
- II. Claims 4-7, drawn to isolated polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claims 10-12, drawn to methods of screening, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The products of groups I and II are structurally distinct polynucleotides and polypeptides that would require non-coextensive literature searches. Furthermore, the polypeptides could be made synthetically and/or isolated from nature rather than being produced recombinantly. The method of group III is distinct from each of the products as the polynucleotides can be used in hybridization assays, for example, and the polypeptides can be used to make antibodies, for example, rather than being used in the method as claimed. That is, they can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, recognized divergent subject matter, and necessity for non-coextensive literature searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne P. Allen whose telephone number is 703-308-0666. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am - 2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 703-308-4028. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Maximum P. Aller

Marianne P. Allen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1631

тра

CFR 1.143).

November 26, 2002